

Aimee Henrikson, MPH, RD¹; Amarsinh Desai, PhD, MS, B.Pharm, D.Pharm²; Francine Allen, RD¹; Swapna Yeddula, Btech³; Krysmaru Araujo Torres, MD¹ ¹Medical Affairs, Nestlé Health Science, New Jersey, US; ²Market Access, Nestlé Health Science, New Jersey, US; ³Clarivate Data Analytics & Insights, Bangalore, India

BACKGROUND

- Intolerance to enteral formulas can be challenging in patients receiving home enteral nutrition (HEN) and may lead to poor outcomes.^{1,2}
- Commercially blenderized tube feeding formulas (CBTF) containing a variety of real foods may be suitable and preferred in those with intolerance to a standard tube feeding formulas (STD-TF), including formulas that are plant-based but do not contain real food.
- Use of CBTF in post-acute care adults and children is well-tolerated and has demonstrated significant health economic benefits.^{3,4}
- There is an evidence gap for use of tube feeding formulas containing real food compared to standard formulas without real food ingredients.

OBJECTIVE

 Describe patient characteristics and clinical outcomes in adults fed CBTF formula compared to a plant-based STD-TF in post-acute care setting.

<u>METHODS</u>

- Retrospective study conducted using nationally representative US claims data from the Decision Resources Group Real World Evidence Data Repository. This repository covers 98% of US health plans and includes medical and pharmacy claims.
- Inclusion criteria were adults ≥14 years, fed a CBTF formula (Compleat[®] Organic Blends, Nestlé HealthCare Nutrition, US) or **RESULTS – PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS** plant-based STD-TF formula (Kate Farms[®] Standard 1.0 and 1.4, Kate Farms, Inc., US) as sole-source nutrition for ≥ 7 days in post-• 448 adults included (46% female; mean [standard deviation (SD)] age [23.3] years) from all US regions. acute care.
- Patient characteristics, medications, GI intolerance symptoms, health Most common pre-index diagnoses were diseases of the digestive system care resource utilization and costs were assessed between January (90%), musculoskeletal and connective tissue (81%) and nervous system (78) 2018 - December 2020. • Overall mean Charlson Comorbidity Index (SD) score was 3.8 (3.4).
- The index date was defined as date of hospital discharge.
- Outcomes were measured in the post-index period based on the last record in the study period at 84-days post-discharge.
- No significant difference, between groups was observed, including us GI intolerance symptoms were compared between CBTF and STD-TF concomitant medications such as CNS agents, GI drugs (antidiarrh group at 84-days post-index using chi-square test. antiemetics, laxatives, others) and anti-infective agents.

REFERENCES

(1) Elfadil OM et al. JPEN 2021:1-9; (2) Mundi MS, et al. NCP. 2020;35(3):487-494; (3) Henrikson A et al. JPEN. 2022 Mar;46(S1): S162-S163; (4) Desai A et al. NASPGHAN Annual Meeting: October 13-15, 2022 (Orlando, FL).

Presented at ASPEN Nutrition Science & Practice Conference, April 20–23, 2023, Las Vegas, Nevada. Sponsored by Nestlé HealthCare Nutrition, Inc. Unless otherwise noted, all trademarks are owned by Société des Produits Nestlé S.A., Vevey, Switzerland Kate Farms[®] Standard 1.0 and 1.4, are owned by Kate Farms, Inc., USA

Clinical Benefits of Real Food Tube Feeding Formulas Compared to Plant-Based Standard Tube Feeding Formulas in Post-Acute Care Adult Patients

Significant reductions in GI intolerance symptoms were observed in adults receiving real food tube feeding compared to a plant-based standard tube feeding formula

Table 1. Patient Characteristics (N=448)				Table 2. Patients Experiencing GI Intolerance Symptoms at 84-days		
	CBTF N=124, n (%)	STD-TF N=324, n (%)	p-value [†]		CBTF N=124, n (%)	STD-TF N=324, n (%)
Mean Age, (SD)§	41.8 (23.9%)	41.5 (23.1%)	0.882	Any intelerance expertence	26 (200/)	159 (10%)
Female	64 (52%)	143 (44%)	0.156	Any intolerance symptoms	50 (29%)	130 (49%)
Comorbidities [‡]				2 Intolerance symptoms	9 (25%)	46 (29%)
Chronic pulmonary disease	43 (35%)	128 (40%)	0.347	3+ Intolerance symptoms	3 (8%)	39 (25%)
Paraplegia and hemiplegia	48 (39%)	96 (30%)	0.066			
Cancer	33 (27%)	88 (27%)	0.907	Nausea & vomiting	11 (9%)	/6 (23%)
Cerebrovascular disease	14 (11%)	45 (14%)	0.467	Abdominal pain	10 (8%)	76 (23%)
Peripheral Vascular Disease	15 (12%)	41 (13%)	0.873	Diarrhea	2 (2%)	33 (10%)
CCI score, Mean (SD)§	3.4 (3.3)	3.9 (3.5)	0.208	Flatulence	2 (2%)	21 (6%)
Abbroviations: CDTE commercial	blandarized tube feeding fe	rmula: STD TE plant ha	cod standard tuba			

Abbreviations: CBTF, commercial blenderized tube feeding formula; SID-IF, plant-based standard tube feeding formula; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; SD, standard deviation

§ t-Test; [†]chi-square test, alpha=0.05 level of significance [‡]Assessed during the year prior to hospital discharge

 Most frequent comorbidities were chronic pulmonary disease (38%), parap and hemiplegia (32%) and cancer (27%) (Table 1).

CONCLUSIONS

- Use of CBTF with a variety of real foods was well tolerated in adult patients in the post-acute care setting.
- clinical benefits in post-acute care patients.



Abbreviations: CBTF, commercial blenderized tube feeding formula; STD-TF, plant-based standard tube feeding formula

[†]chi-square test, alpha=0.05 level of significance

	<u>RESULTS – GI INTOLERANCE</u>
41.5 vstem	 Significantly fewer patients experienced GI intolerance symptom post-index with the CBTF formula (29%) compared to STD-TF (49 Table 2).
78%). olegia	 Difference in GI intolerance for CBTF was observed in individual nausea and vomiting (p< 0.001), diarrhea (p=0.002), abdominal pa and flatulence (p=0.037) at 84-days post-index.
se of neals,	 Significantly more patients experienced three or more GI intoleran among the STD-TF group (25%) compared to CBTF (8%, p=0.002

• Significant reductions in GI intolerance symptoms were observed with CBTF compared to plant-based STD-TF formulas, demonstrating





