



The OPTIFAST Total and Partial Meal Replacement Program Improves Cardiometabolic Risk in Adults With Obesity – Secondary and Exploratory Analysis of the OPTIWIN Study

O.E. JOHANSEN¹, I.J. NEELAND², A.E. ROTHBERG³, R.J. CHILTON⁴, D. DE LUIS⁵, A.H. HAWKINSON⁶, S.C. COHEN⁷, J.D. ARD⁸

1: Nestlé Health Science, Vevey, Switzerland; 2: University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, OH, United States; 3: University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States; 4: UTHSCA, San Antonio, TX, Unites States; 5: Medicine School, Simanacas, Spain; 6: Nestlé Health Science, Bridgewater, NJ, United States; 7: Tox Strategies, Durham, NC, Unites States; 8: Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, US

INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a risk factor for the development of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and people with obesity experience CVD events at an earlier age.1

In the randomized controlled OPTIWIN study³ of 273 individuals (BMI 30-55 kg/m²; age 18 - 70 years), the use of meal replacement products (MRP) with Optifast (OP) compared with a low-calorie food-based (FB) dietary plan, in addition to lifestyle intervention (weekly 45-60-min group behavioral sessions and physical activity), resulted in significant weight loss at week (W) 26 (reduction phase) and W52 (maintenance) (Fig 1).



We performed secondary and exploratory analysis of the **OPTIWIN** study to assess effects on CVD risk factors and CVD risk of MRP with OP vs the FB dietary plan during the reduction phase (0-26W) and over the full study period (0-52W).

AIM

METHODS

DIETARY INTERVENTIONS

- MRP with OP W0-26 reduction phase
- BMI < 45 kg/m²: 5 MRPs/day (800 kcal total); BMI 45-49.9 kg/m²: 6 MRPs/day (960 kcal); BMI ≥ 50 kg/m²: 6 MRs plus one meal daily of lean protein (3-4 ounces) and one nonstarchy vegetable serving (1100-1200 kcal). Gradual reintroduction of food onwards W12-16 through W26.
- MRP with OP W26-52 maintenance phase
- Calories were gradually increased to achieve weight stability; during this time, participants were advised to use 1-2 MRPs daily.
- Low-calorie FB diet W0-26 reduction phase
- A calorie-restricted diet (fat 25%-30% of total calories) reduced by 500-750 kcal below estimated total energy expenditure (indirect calorimetry plus an activity factor based on self-reported physical activity).
- Low-calorie FB diet W26-52 maintenance phase
- Diet followed a modified version of the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

CV risk factors and CVD risk were analysed as changes over time (mITT) and LS means and LS mean differences calculated.

· Linear mixed model with a subject random intercept effect, fixed intervention effect/visit effect/baseline value effect, a treatment-by-visit interaction term, and confounders (age, race, diabetes status).

Subgroup-analysis were performed for changes in SBP, DBP and ASCVD

risk by age at BL, systolic BP at BL and sex.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics were balanced, the population had a low CV risk (table 1) with the majority <5% 10-year AHA/ACC ASCVD risk.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics; mean (SD) or n (%).

	OP N=135	FB N=138
Age (years)	47.1 (11.2)	47.2 (11.3)
Sex (M/F)	19 (14.1)/116 (85.9)	29 (21.0)/109 (79.0)
BMI (kg/m ²)	38.4 (5.5)	39.2 (6.2)
SBP/DBP (mmHg)	123.4 (13.6)/77.8 (11.6)	125.3 (11.4)/78.0 (10.1)
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	193.7 (38.6)	187.9 (32.8)
LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	122.3 (32.1)	119.7 (30.4)
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	51.7 (13.4)	50.9 (14.0)
Triglycerides (TG) (mg/dL)	135.9 (123.2)	125.8 (63.0)
ASCVD risk score, %	2.6 (3.4)	3.6 (5.1)

RESULTS cont. Table 2. Effects on CV risk factors and ASVCD risk at W26 (LS mean char Difference (95% CI) OP, n=116 FB, n=120 Weight, kg - 13.4 (-15.0, -11.8) -6.4 (-8.0, -4.9) -7.0 (-9.2, -4.7)*** SBP, mmHg -1.35 (-3.09, 0.39) -3.52 (-6.00, -1.03)** -4.87 (-6.63, -3.11) DBP, mmHg -0.26 (-1.50, 0.98) -2.57 (-4.34, -0.79)** -2.83 (-4.08, -1.57) Total-C, mg/dL -4.73 (-9.30, -0.15)* -6.54 (-9.79. -3.29) -1.82 (-5.01, 1.38) LDL-C, mg/dL -5.55 (-8.47, -2.62) -0.55 (-3.43, 2.33) -5.00 (-9.12, -0.87)* HDL-C, mg/dL 1.42 (0.28, 2.56) 3.24 (1.61, 4.87)*** 4.66 (3.5, 5.81) TG, mg(dL -28.04 (-43.03, -13.04)*** -32.72 (-43.38, -22.06) -4.69 (-15.18, 5.81) ASCVD 10-yr risk, % -0.65 (-1.07, -0.23)** -0.58 (-0.87, -0.26) 0.07 (-0.22, 0.37) *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 vs FB

A similar, but slightly attenuated pattern was observed at W52

Fig 2. Subgroup effects on systolic blood pressure at W26

	Optifast Food based	LS Mean difference (95%		p-value	
	n	n	CI), mmHg	LS mean diff (95% CI), mmHg	OP vs FB
All patients	116	120	-3.5 (-6.0, -1.0)		0.006
Age					
<40 years	37	37	-4.6 (-9.0, -0.2)	·	0.042
40 to <60 years	80	82	-1.7 (-4.9, 1.5)		0.292
≥60 years	18	19	-11.0 (-19.0, -2.9) -	•	0.009
Sex					
Male	19	29	-0.7 (-6.9, 5.5)		0.826
Female	116	109	-3.9 (-6.7, -1.2)	·	0.005
Systolic BP					
<130 mmHg	89	88	-2.5 (-5.3, 0.3)	, •	0.079
≥130 mmHg	46	50	-5.9 (-10.5, -1.3)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.0012
	<i>.</i>		-12	2 -10 -8 -6 -4 -2 C	Equoure EB

Fig 3. Subgroup effects on 10-years ASCVD risk at W26

	Optifast Food based	LS Mean difference (95%		p-value	
	n	n	CI)	LS mean diff (95% CI)	OP vs FB
All patients	116	120	-0.7 (-1.1, -0.2)	-	0.003
Age					
<40 years	37	37	-0.2 (-0.3,-0.1)	2 .	0.002
40 to <60 years	80	82	-0.5 (-1.1,0.1)		0.091
≥60 years	18	19	-1.7 (-3.2,-0.3)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.020
Sex					
Male	19	29	-2.4 (-4.1, -0.7)		0.007
Female	116	109	-0.3 (-0.6,0.1)		0.111
Systolic BP					
<130 mmHg	89	88	-0.2 (-0.7, 0.2)	·•	0.293
≥130 mmHg	46	50	-1.1 (-2.0,-0.3)		0.007
			-	3.5 -2.5 -1.5 -0.5 0 0.5	

OURS OP Favours FE

In subgroup analysis by age (<40, 40-59, ≥ 60 years), SBP (</≥ 130 mmHg) and sex, greater treatment effects were generally seen with higher SBP and age, and in men.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank all participants and study site personnel involved in this study

CONTACT INFORMATION

Odd Erik Johansen, MD, PhD Nestlé Health Science Lausanne, Switzerland odderik.johansen@nestle.com

1. Khan S.S., et al. Association of body mass index with lifetime risk of cardiovascular disease and compression of morbidity. JAMA Cardiol. 2018:3:280-287

CVD risk, with the largest magnitude of effect in people > 60 years, and with SBP > 130 mmHg at baseline REFERENCES

2. Ard J.D., et al., Effectiveness of a Total Meal Replacement Program (OPTIFAST Program) on Weight Loss: Results from the OPTIWIN Study. Obesity (Silver Spring), 2019; 27:22-29

CONCLUSIONS

These results support that weight loss induced with MRP using OP, significantly improves CVD risk factors and