

BACKGROUND

- Enteral nutrition provides nutrition support for patients with a functional gastrointestinal (GI) tract who are unable to meet nutrition goals by mouth
- Peptide-based enteral tube feeding (ETF) formulas are designed for malabsorption, impaired GI function, or enteral feeding intolerance,¹ and have shown improved tolerance compared with standard polymeric formulas^{2, 3}
- There is a growing body of evidence on improved GI tolerance with peptide-based ETF as well as formulas containing real food ingredients.^{3, 4} Evidence on plant-based peptide ETF also containing fruit and vegetable ingredients is scarce

OBJECTIVES

• We assessed clinical outcomes related to GI intolerance in children receiving a peptide- and plant-based enteral formula containing a variety of fruit and vegetable ingredients in post-acute care

	N (%)						
Age							
1-3 years	28 (31%)						
4-8 years	47 (52%)						
9–13 years	16 (18%)						
Gender							
Male	46 (51%)						
Female	45 (49%)						
Region							
Midwest	34 (37%)						
West	8 (9%)						
South	23 (25%)						
Northeast	26 (29%)						
Comorbidities							
Congenital Malformations	66 (73%)						
Developmental Delays	55 (60%)						
GI Conditions	52 (57%)						
PCI Weighted Score ≥4	76 (84%)						

Table 1: Patient Characteristics and Common Comorbidities (N=91)

Abbreviations: GI, gastrointestinal; PCI, pediatric comorbidity index.

References

1. Elfadil OM, Shah RN, Hurt RT, et al. Peptide-based formula: Clinical applications and benefits. Nutr Clin Pract. 2023 Apr;38(2):318-28.

United States. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2021 Nov;45(8):1729-35.

GASTROINTESTINAL TOLERANCE OF A PEPTIDE-BASED ENTERAL FORMULA WITH FRUIT AND VEGETABLE INGREDIENTS: RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS OF CHILDREN IN POST-ACUTE CARE

METHODS

- A retrospective analysis of de-identified data was performed using nationally representative US claims data from the Decision Resources Group Real World Evidence Data Repository, linking medical claims, prescription claims, and electronic health records between Jan 2020 and Dec 2022
- Post-acute pediatric patients aged 1–13 years prescribed a peptideand plant-based (hydrolyzed pea protein) formula with fruit and vegetable ingredients (Compleat[®] Pediatric Peptide 1.5 [PPF], Nestlé HealthCare Nutrition, US) were included
- Demographic, comorbidities and clinical characteristics were captured. Results are presented as mean (standard deviation [SD]) or N (%)
- Gl intolerance symptoms were recorded at pre- and post-index periods. The index date was defined as the date of hospital discharge, the pre-index period was defined as 6 months prior to the index date and post-index periods as 28, 84 and 168 days after the index date
- Outcomes at pre-index and post-index periods were compared using the Chi-square test

RESULTS

- 91 patients were included; the mean age was 5.5 (SD 3.0) years, with 52% aged 4–8 years and 51% males; All US regions were represented, with the largest share of patients from the Midwest (37%) **(Table 1)**
- Pre-index, 98% of patients had ≥ 1 comorbidity, with a mean (SD) pediatric comorbidity index (PCI) score of 7.4 (3.5). The majority of patients (84%) had a PCI weighted score ≥ 4 (Table 1)
- At 6 months pre-index, 56% of patients experienced intolerance symptoms (Figure 1). Significantly fewer patients receiving PPF experienced any GI intolerance symptoms at 28, 84 and 168 days postindex (p<0.001) **(Table 2 & Figure 1)**

Table 2: GI Intolerance Among Post-Acute Care Children Receiving a Peptide- and Plant-Based Formula

GI Intolerance Symptoms	Pre-Index		28 Days Post-Index			84 Days Post-Index			168 Days Post-Index		
	Patients		Patients		m Valuat	Patients		m Valuat	Patients		
	Ν	%	Ν	%	p-value'	Ν	%	p-value'	Ν	%	p-value'
Any Intolerance Symptoms	51	56%	21	23%	<0.001	26	29%	<0.001	29	32%	<0.001
Constipation (%)	30	33%	10	11%	<0.001	14	15%	0.006	17	19%	0.028
Diarrhea (%)	9	10%	1	1%	0.009	1	1%	0.009	1	1%	0.009
Nausea & Vomiting (%)	27	30%	10	11%	0.002	12	13%	0.007	14	15%	0.021

+ Significant differences vs pre-index are shown in bold. Chi-square test (pre- vs post-index); alpha=0.05 level of significance. **Abbreviation:** GI, gastrointestinal.

Krysmaru Araujo Torres, MD¹

¹ Medical Affairs, Nestle Health Science, Bridgewater, New Jersey; ² Market Access, Nestle Health Science, Bridgewater, New Jersey; ³ Clarivate Data Analytics & Insights, Bangalore, India

Significantly fewer children experienced any GI intolerance symptoms with a peptide and plant-based formula with fruit and vegetable ingredients



* Chi-square test (pre- vs post-index); alpha=0.05 level of significance. ** p<0.001 vs pre-index. Chi-square test (pre- vs post-index); alpha=0.05 level of significance. **Abbreviation:** GI, gastrointestinal.

- Significant reductions in individual GI intolerance symptoms were observed at all time points for (Table 2 & Figure 2):
- Constipation
- Diarrhea
- Nausea and vomiting

- 3. Elfadil OM, Steien DB, Narasimhan R, et al. Transition to peptide-based diet improved enteral nutrition tolerance and decreased healthcare utilization in pediatric home enteral nutrition. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2022 Mar;46(3):626-34.
- 4. Adams RL. Evaluating Growth and Tolerance of Blenderized Tube Feeding Formulas in Children: A Narrative Review of Literature. Journal of Food & Nutritional Sciences. 2021;3(1):18-33.



CONCLUSIONS

- Children fed a peptide- and plant-based enteral formula containing fruit and vegetable ingredients in a post-acute care setting showed significant reductions in GI intolerance symptoms up to 168 days post-hospital discharge
- Improved GI tolerance supports the use of PPF in children who would benefit from peptide-based formulas while also realizing the benefits of fruit and vegetable ingredients

Disclosures: The study was funded by Nestlé Health Science. Presented at NASPGHAN Conference, October 4-7, 2023, San Diego, California. Sponsored by Nestlé HealthCare Nutrition, Inc. Trademarks are owned by Société des Produits Nestlé S.A., Vevey, Switzerland